(10)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 1906 of 2007

Single Bench:Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Petitioner : Smt. Sunita Devi W/o Shri Rajkumar Dogeshwar, age 23 years, R/o Vill. Nipania, Gram Panchayat Ucchabhatti, P.S. Seepat, Tahsil & District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

Versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Women & Child Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Shastri Chowk, Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

2. The Collector, District Bilaspur, Office of Collector (Women & Child Development) at Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

3. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bilha, Block- Bilha, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

4. The Project Officer, Integrated Child Development Service Project, Bilha, Block - Bilha, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

5. The Gram Panchayat Ucchabhatti, Janpad Panchayat Bilha, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

6. Smt. Neera Devi W/o Shri Rajkumar Khare, Age Unknown, R/o Vill. Ucchabhati, P.S. Seepat, Tahsil & District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

Present: Mr. Sourabh Sharma, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the State.

ORDER

(Passed on 30th Day of March, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the appointment of the respondent No. 6 is not in accordance with the Anganbadi Scheme as

one of the relative is a Government servant. The impugned order dated 7.3.2007 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bilha, Block- Bilha, District Bilaspur does not indicate any reasons for amending the appointment order passed in favour of the petitioner and appointing the respondent No. 6 in her place.

2. Be that as it may, the Collector, Bilaspur is directed to examine all the allegations made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with Aanganbadi Scheme/Guidelines, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioner shall supply a set of writ petition with annexures along with a copy of this order to the Collector, Bilaspur.

3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

4. Consequently, I.A. No. 1, an application for grant of ad-interim writ, stands disposed of.

Certified copy today..

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash